

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,881	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory M. Desire	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Gregory M. Desire.

(2) Michael Mallie.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

68-81

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 68-81 describes an article including computer readable media. The specification page 21 describes a computer readable media to include a signal. Thus, describing signal claims. The examiner suggested amending claim 68 to be limited to computer readable storage media. Thus claiming away from signal claims. Claim 68 was amended to read a computer readable storage media. Claims 69-81 depend on claim 68. Therefore, amending the dependent claims .